108TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

H. R. 4246

To amend the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 to specify the criteria to be used by the Secretary of Defense in making recommendations in 2005 for the closure or realignment of military installations inside the United States under such Act.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APRIL 28, 2004

Mrs. Wilson of New Mexico introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To amend the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 to specify the criteria to be used by the Secretary of Defense in making recommendations in 2005 for the closure or realignment of military installations inside the United States under such Act.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "2005 BRAC Selection
- 5 Criteria Act".

SEC. 2. SPECIFICATION OF 2005 BRAC FINAL SELECTION

_	
7	
,	CRITERIA.
/ ,	CALIFA.A.

2005.

- 3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:
- (1) Title XXX of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–
 107; 115 Stat. 1342) amended the Defense Base
 Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of
 title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687
 note) to authorize the Secretary of Defense to conduct a round of base realignments and closures in
 - (2) In section 2822 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1726), approved November 24, 2003, Congress required the Secretary of Defense to assess the probable threats to national security and determine the potential, prudent, surge requirements for the Armed Forces and military installations to meet those threats. Such section specifically requires the Secretary of Defense to use the determination of surge requirements in exercising the authority of the Secretary to conduct the 2005 round of base realignments and closures.
 - (3) Section 2913 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as added by title XXX of the National Defense Authorization Act for

- Fiscal Year 2002, specified the process by which the Secretary of Defense was to prepare the criteria to be used by the Secretary in making recommendations for the 2005 round of base realignments and closures and listed certain requirements the Sec-retary had to comply with as part of the process, in-cluding the advance publication of the proposed cri-teria and the solicitation and consideration of public comments.
 - (4) In subsection (e) of such section, Congress required the Secretary of Defense to publish in the Federal Register and transmit to Congress not later than February 16, 2004, the final criteria intended to be used by the Secretary in making recommendations for the 2005 round of base realignments and closures. Pursuant to such subsection, the Secretary of Defense published the final selection criteria in the Federal Register on February 12, 2004 (69 Fed. Reg. 6948).
 - (5) In addition to specifically reserving its right to disapprove the final selection criteria, Congress may modify or otherwise amend the criteria by Act of Congress.
- 24 (b) Congressional Specification of Final 25 BRAC Selection Criteria.—Section 2913 of the De-

- 1 fense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part
- 2 A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687
- 3 note), as added by section 3002 of the National Defense
- 4 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–
- 5 107; 115 Stat. 1344), is amended to read as follows:
- 6 "SEC. 2913. FINAL SELECTION CRITERIA FOR 2005 ROUND.
- 7 "(a) Final Selection Criteria.—The final cri-
- 8 teria to be used by the Secretary in making recommenda-
- 9 tions for the closure or realignment of military installa-
- 10 tions inside the United States under this part in 2005 are
- 11 as follows:
- 12 "(1) The current and future mission require-
- ments and the impact on operational readiness of
- the total force of the Department of Defense, includ-
- ing the impact on joint warfighting, training, readi-
- ness, and research, development, test, and evaluation
- of weapons systems and equipment.
- 18 "(2) The availability and condition of land, fa-
- cilities, infrastructure, and associated air and water
- space (including preservation of training areas suit-
- able for maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces
- 22 throughout a diversity of climate and terrain areas,
- 23 the preservation of testing ranges able to accommo-
- date current or future military weapons systems and
- equipment, and the preservation of staging areas for

- the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions) at both existing and potential receiving locations.
 - "(3) The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both existing and potential receiving locations to support operations, training, maintenance, and repair.
 - "(4) Preservation of land, air, and water space, facilities, and infrastructure necessary to support training and operations of military forces determined to be surge requirements by the Secretary of Defense, as required by section 2822 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1726).
 - "(5) The extent and timing of potential costs and savings of base realignment and closure actions on the entire Federal budget, as well as the Department of Defense, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs. Costs shall include those costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities.

- 1 "(6) The economic impact on existing commu-2 nities in the vicinity of military installations.
- 3 "(7) The ability of the infrastructure of both
- 4 existing and potential receiving communities to sup-
- 5 port forces, missions, and personnel, including qual-
- 6 ity of living standards for members of the Armed
- 7 Forces and their dependents.
- 8 "(8) The environmental impact on receiving lo-
- 9 cations.
- 10 "(b) Priority Given to Military Value.—In rec-
- 11 ommending military installations for closure or realign-
- 12 ment, the Secretary shall give priority consideration to the
- 13 first four criteria specified in subsection (a).
- 14 "(c) Relation to Other 2005 Round Mate-
- 15 RIALS.—The final selection criteria specified in subsection
- 16 (a) shall be the only criteria to be used, along with the
- 17 force-structure plan and infrastructure inventory referred
- 18 to in section 2912, in making recommendations for the
- 19 closure or realignment of military installations inside the
- 20 United States under this part in 2005.
- 21 "(d) Relation to Criteria for Earlier
- 22 ROUNDS.—Section 2903(b), and the selection criteria pre-
- 23 pared under such section, shall not apply with respect to
- 24 the process of making recommendations for the closure or
- 25 realignment of military installations in 2005.".

1	(c) Conforming Amendments.—The Defense Base
2	Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 is amended—
3	(1) in section $2912(c)(1)(A)$, by striking "cri-
4	teria prepared under section 2913" and inserting
5	"criteria specified in section 2913"; and
6	(2) in section 2914(a), by striking "criteria pre-
7	pared by the Secretary under section 2913" and in-
8	serting "criteria specified in section 2913".